Roxburgh TRUST

# Bitcoin ETFs vs direct Bitcoin ownership

## A client-friendly summary of a critical market view

This document summarizes a widely discussed perspective within parts of the Bitcoin community regarding the rise of institutional Bitcoin ETFs such as BlackRock's IBIT. The view argues that while ETFs may improve accessibility and adoption, they fundamentally differ from directly owning Bitcoin.




Illustration reflecting the critique that institutional Bitcoin adoption may prioritize fee generation and custody over Bitcoin's original decentralization ethos.

## Core argument

- A Bitcoin ETF is not Bitcoin itself - it is a financial product designed to track Bitcoin's price.
- ETF investors own shares in a fund rather than direct ownership of Bitcoin.
- The Bitcoin is held by custodians on behalf of the ETF structure.
- ETF holders generally cannot self-custody, transfer, or directly transact with the Bitcoin.
- Critics argue this reintroduces intermediaries and custodians that Bitcoin was originally designed to reduce or eliminate.

## Institutional adoption narrative

The opinion presented in the source material challenges the idea that institutional participation automatically validates Bitcoin philosophically. Instead, the argument is that asset managers are primarily responding to demand by packaging Bitcoin exposure into a familiar investment product.

- Wall Street's business model is to package, distribute, and manage investment products for fees.
- ETF growth reflects investor demand and distribution power more than ideological support for Bitcoin.
- SEC approval is viewed as regulatory permission to distribute a product — not a quality endorsement.
- Institutional custodianship may conflict with Bitcoin's original ethos of self-sovereignty and decentralization.



## Direct Bitcoin ownership vs ETF exposure

| Feature | Direct Bitcoin Ownership | Bitcoin ETF Exposure |
|---|---|---|
| Ownership | Own the Bitcoin directly | Own shares in a fund |
| Custody | Investor controls private keys | Custodian holds Bitcoin |
| Portability | Transferable globally | Cannot move underlying Bitcoin |
| Self-Custody | Yes | No |
| Access | Requires wallet/security setup | Brokerage account access |
| Counterparty Risk | Reduced when self-custodied | Dependent on institutions |
| Fees | Exchange/network fees | Ongoing management fees |

## Balanced Perspective

- Bitcoin ETFs provide convenient access through traditional investment accounts.
- They simplify custody, administration, and regulatory compliance for many investors.
- They may improve liquidity and broaden institutional participation.
- However, ETFs do not provide the sovereignty and portability associated with direct Bitcoin ownership.

The philosophical divide is therefore not necessarily about whether Bitcoin ETFs are useful, but whether financial convenience should replace direct ownership and self-sovereignty — principles many early Bitcoin advocates considered central to Bitcoin's purpose.

**Prepared by:**
**Clinton van Coller**
Director | Roxburgh Trust
clinton@roxburgh.co.za
www.roxburgh.co.za

Roxburgh Trust (Pty) Ltd is an authorised financial services provider, FSP No 7344
T: (011) 442 2100

Roxburgh TRUST

**Disclaimer:** This document summarizes opinions expressed in publicly circulated Bitcoin commentary and is intended solely for educational discussion purposes. It does not constitute investment advice or a recommendation regarding Bitcoin, ETFs, or any financial product.